- 8 May 1983

## U.S. dispute rages over secret wars and presidential powers

By James McCartney Washington Bureau

WASHINGTON - President Reagan's supporters depict the United States as a pitiful, helpless giant in Central America, trying to Fight communist treachery, as one puts it. "with one hand tied behind its back."

That is what will happen, they say, if Congress cuts off money to support a secret war against the leftist Sandinista government in Nicaragua

To Reagan's opponents the wasue in the current congressional debate over Nicaragua is quite different They ask "Can - or should - a democratic society try to fight a controversial, covert war

In fact, debate has raised unresolved issues about presidential powers and secret wars that have haunted the nation since the tragedy at the Bay of Pigs in Cuba The strategic of the second second

Ray Cline, former bead of intelligence for the State Department, argues that Reagan should be table to wage a secret war against the Sandinistas, and many other intelligence specialists agree.

We have to counter

The Soviets and Cubans operate secret wars," Cline said. "We have to be able to counter them at their own game, with the only kinds of methods that

Former CIA Director Stansfield Turber disagrees. It was, he has written, a "mistake" for the CIA to get involved in Nicaragua.

Turner argues that the lessons of modern history, in an age of instant communication, prove that a democratic society-cannot rengage in covert intelligenceoperations that might be politically controversial, because they inevitably will be exposed and thus backfire.

Some covert activities might be justified, Turner said, because they would have broad public support if exposed, "but the Nicaragua operation is not one of them.

The congressional debate over covert action in Nicaragua now goes far beyond the question of whether Reagan violated the law by secretly supporting anti-Sandinista guerrillas, the issue initially raised by members of the House Intelligence Committee

Heagan's policies challenged

Today many in Congress - mostly Democrats but also a few Republicans - are challenging Reagan's hard-line policies in Central America across the board.

They also are questioning his presidential powers

and seeking to restrict them.

And they are raising basic questions about covert action by the CIA Such questions have torn the agency apart with internal power struggles in recent years and threaten to do so again.

Congressional critics say they don't want to rule out covert intelligence activities but would sharply

The congressional move to cut off aid to the Nicaraguan rebels has been cast in terms of preventing the Reagan administration from violating the law. Beneath the surface, it has been much more than that

Intelligence committees disagree

In a party-line vote, the House Intelligence Committee, which is controlled by Democrats, approved a resolution Tuesday "to prohibit United States support for military or paramilitary operations in Nicaragua."

The Senate Intelligence Committee, however, which is controlled by Republicans, voted Friday to permit continuance of covert support for Nicaraguan rebels, at least until the end of September, leaving the ultimate fate of Reagan's covert program up in the air.

As part of a compromise, the committee also demanded a report by the end of September on Reagan's objectives in Central America

In the House committee, the narrow argument was that Reagan has ignored a congressional mandate of last December forbidding U.S. support for efforts to overthow the Sandinista government.

However, members of the committee did not discuss their move in terms of legalities. They discussed it in terms of opposition to Reagan's overall Central American policies

Fundamentally, Democrats in Congress believe Reagan is trying to win militarily in Central America because be sees the struggle primarily in military terms. They are seeking negotiations.

Nor did Reagan cast the argument in legalistic terms in an interview with White House correspondents Wednesday. He pictured the Nicaraguan rebels as "freedom fighters" and argued, essentially, that the United States must support those who oppose dictatorial leftist regimes.

The debate also centers on the issue of whether the president should be free to do what he thinks is

necessary if he believes the nation's security is at stake.

The clearest statement of Reagan's attitude on this question came two weeks ago from White House counselor Edwin Meese III, who told reporters:

"It is the responsibility of the president to conduct foreign policy, limitations on that by Congress are improper, as far as I'm concerned."

STAT

STAT